Free Rad. Res. Comms., Vols. 12-13, pp. 75-86

THE INTERACTIONS OF SUPEROXIDE $ION(O_2^-)$ WITH METALLO-PORPHYRINS [(C1₈TPP)M, M = FE,MN,CO,ZN]; MODELS FOR BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS AND SUPEROXIDE DISMUTASES

DONALD T. SAWYER and PAUL K.S. TSANG

Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA

In dimethylformamide superoxide ion forms a 1:1 adduct with tetrakis (2,6-dichlorophenyl) porphinatoiron, (Cl₈ TPP)FeOO⁻, as well as with its manganese analogue, (Cl₈ TPP)MnOO⁻. On the basis of their electrochemical, spectroscopic, and magnetic properties these adducts have a metal-oxygen covalent bond (PorM—OO⁻), oxygen-centered redox chemistry, and reactivities that are similar to the hydroperoxide ion (HOO⁻). Addition of ⁻OH to a solution of PorFe and O₂ results in the formation of PorFe(OH)(OO⁻), which can be electrochemically oxidized to PorFeOH plus O₂ (-0.2 V vs SCE). Addition of protons to the PorM–OO⁻ adducts promotes their rapid decomposition to PorM, HOOH, and O₂. This chemistry provides insight to the reactions of biological superoxide and superoxide dismutases.

KEY WORDS: Superoxide ion, metallo-porphyrins, iron-SOD, manganese-SOD.

Although there remains considerable question as to the amount (and mechanism of generation) of free superoxide ion (O_2^{-}) in the cytosol of biological systems, the species has significant lifetime in aqueous media at pH 7 (~ 1 ms for 1 mM O_2^{-}).¹ In the absence of catalysts or superoxide dismutase this lifetime is determined by the kinetics for the proton-induced disproportionation

$$O_2^{--} + HA \longrightarrow HOO + A^- pK_{HOO}, 4.9$$
(1)
$$\bigcup_{\substack{O_2^{--} \\ HA}} O_2 + HOOH + A^- k, 10^8 M^{-1} s^{-1}$$

Even in the presence of unbuffered water O_2^{-1} is thermodynamically unstable

$$2 O_2^{-1} + H_2 O \longrightarrow O_2 + HOO^- + HO^- K, 10^8 atm^{-1}$$
(2)

Lewis acids other than protons $[Zn(ClO_4)_2, Fe(ClO_4)_2, Mn(ClO_4)_2, and Co(ClO_4)_2]$ also facilitate the disproportionation of O_2^{-1} at faster rates and without the formation of the HOO radical as an intermediate¹

$$O_{2^{-}}^{-} + Zn(ClO_{4})_{2} \longrightarrow Zn^{+} -OO^{-} + 2 ClO_{4}^{-}$$

$$(3)$$

$$O_{2^{-}}^{-} + 2nOO^{+} + 2nOO^{-} + n$$

$$(3)$$

$$O_{2^{-}}^{-} + OO^{-} + 2nOO^{-} + n$$

$$(3)$$

$$(3)$$

$$O_{2^{-}}^{-} + OO^{-} + 2nOO^{-} + n$$

$$(3)$$

Because the superoxide dismutase proteins contain transition metals (Fe, Mn, or Cu/Zn) there is a general belief that they facilitate the disproportionation of O_2^- via a metal-centered electron-transfer mechanism, e.g.,²⁻⁴



$$Fe^{III}L_m + O_2^{-} \xrightarrow{HA} L_n Fe^{II} + O_2 + HL + A^-$$
(4a)

$$L_{\mu}Fe^{\mu} + O_2^{-} \xrightarrow{HA} Fe^{\mu}L_{\mu} + HOOH + A^{-}$$
(4b)

However, several arguments have been presented that favor a radical-radical coupling between $O_2^{\overline{2}}$ and an unpaired valence electron of the transistion metal center of SOD (Fe,Mn,Cu/Zn),^{5.6}

$$Mn^{II}L_{a} + O_{2}^{-} \xrightarrow{HA} L_{a}Mn - OOH + A^{-}$$
(5a)

$$L_n Mn-OOH + O_2^{-} \xrightarrow{HA} Mn^H L_n + O_2 + HOOH + A^-$$
 (5b)

and thereby preclude the formation of the peroxy radical intermediates (HOO· and $M^+ - OO$ ·) of the Lewis-acid mechanism and the oxidation-state change of the metal center for the electron-transfer mechanism. The resolution of these mechanistic alternatives requires that the SOD- O_2^- intermediates be observed and characterized. The present study makes use of the tetrakis-meso-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-porphyrinatoiron, -manganese, -cobalt, and -zinc complexes as models for the metal centers of SOD proteins. Because of steric barriers binuclear disproportionation paths are precluded

$$PorM(O_2^{-\cdot}) + PorM(O_2^{-\cdot}) \longrightarrow N R$$
(6)

which makes it possible to stabilize and characterize the O_2^{-1} adducts of these metalloporphyrins.

Experimental Section

Equipment

Cyclic voltammetry was accomplished with a Bioanalytical Systems Model CV—27 and a Houston Instruments Model 200 XY recorder. The electrochemical measurements were made with a microcell assembly (10—mL capacity) that was adapted to use a glassy-carbon working electrode (area, 0.09 cm^2), a platinum-wire auxiliary electrode (contained in a glass tube with a medium-porosity glass frit and filled with a concentrated solution of supporting electrolyte), and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode (filled with aqueous tetramethylammonium chloride solution and adjusted to 0.00 V vs SCE)² with a solution junction via a Pyrex glass tube closed with a crackedglass bead (soft glass) that was contained in a luggin capillary.

Chemicals and Reagents

Dimethylformamide (DMF) and acetonitrile (MeCN), "distilled-in-glass" grade from Burdick and Jackson, were used without further purification. Tetraethylammonium perchlorate (TEAP) was vacuum-dried for 24 h prior to use. Tetrabutylammonium hydroxide [(Bu_4N)OH] was obtained form Aldrich as a 1 M solution in methanol, and its concentration was determined by acid-base titration. All other solvents and chemicals were the highest purity commercially available and were used as received.

Tetramethylammonium superoxide $[(Me_4 N)O_2]$ was prepared by combination of KO₂ and $(Me_4 N)OH \cdot H_2O$ and subsequent extraction in liquid ammonia.^{8,9} 5,10,15,20-Tetrakis(2,6-dichlorophenyl)porphine (Cl₈ TPPH₂) was synthesized from

2,4,6-collidine^{10,11} and was used to prepare (Cl₈TPP)MnCl,¹² (Cl₈TPP)FeCl,^{11,12} (Cl₈TPP)Co,¹² and (Cl₈TPP)Zn.^{10,13} The perchlorate salts, (Cl₈TPP)Mn(ClO₄) and (Cl₈TPP)Fe(ClO₄), were prepared by metathesis of the respective chloride salts with one equivalent of anhydrous AgClO₄ in hot toluene.¹⁴

RESULTS

The electrochemistry of O_2 , and of O_2 in combination with (Cl₈TPP)Zn, (Cl₈TPP)Fe, (Cl₈TPP)Mn, and (Cl₈TPP)Co is illustrated in Figure 1. The initial oxidation at 0.00 V



FIGURE 1 Cyclic voltammograms in DMF (0.1 M TEAP) of (a) $0.3 \text{ mM } O_2$ (0.06 atm); (b) 0.5 mM (Cl₈TPP)Zn plus $0.3 \text{ mM } O_2$; (c) 0.5 mM (Cl₈TPP)Fe plus $4.8 \text{ mM } O_2$ (1 atm); (d) 0.5 mM (Cl₈TPP)Mn plus $0.3 \text{ mM } O_2$; and (e) 0.5 mM (Cl₈TPP)Co plus $0.3 \text{ mM } O_2$ [scan rate 0.1 V s^{-1} ; GCE].



for $[(Cl_8 TPP)Fe + O_2(1 \text{ atm})]$ is slightly more positive than that for $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe$ in the absence of $O_2(E_{p.a}, -0.02 \text{ V})$. Within twenty minutes after preparation this system hydrolyzes to give about 10% $(Cl_8 TPP)FeOH$. In contrast, a solution of $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe$ and 1,2-dimethylimidazole in the presence of $O_2(1 \text{ atm})$ is stable for at least five hours.

The electrochemical oxidation of $^{-}$ OH at a glassy-carbon electrode in DMF occurs at + 0.65 V vs SCE. In the presence of (Cl₈ TPP)Zn, a transition-metal complex with a filled d^{10} subshell, the oxidation of $^{-}$ OH occurs at essentially the same potential (E^{*} , + 0.66 V). The formal reduction potential for the O₂/O₂⁻⁻ couple in DMF is



FIGURE 2 Cyclic voltammograms in DMF (0.1 M TEAP) of (a) 0.5 mM (Cl₈ TPP)Fe; (b) 0.5 mM (Cl₈ TPP)Fe plus 0.5 mM (Bu₄ N)OH; (c) the product from the combination of 0.5 mM (Cl₈ TPP)Fe, 0.5 mM (Bu₄ N)OH, and O₂ (1 atm, *ca* 2 min.), followed by deaeration with Ar; and (d) deaerated one-electron reduction product of 0.5 mM (Cl₈ TPP)Fe, one equivalent of (Bu₄ N)OH, and O₂ (1 atm) [scan rate 0.1 V s⁻¹; glassy-carbon electrode (GCE)].

RIGHTSLINKA)

-0.8 V vs SCE; in the presence of (Cl₈TPP)Zn with one equivalent of $^{-}$ OH, oxidation of O_2^{-} occurs at -0.86 V.

The cyclic voltammograms of (Cl₈ TPP)Fe and its 1:1 combination with -OH are shown in Figures 2a and 2b, respectively. The combination of 10 equivalents of -OHwith (Cl₈ TPP)Fe exhibits a cyclic voltammogram that is a composite of that for [(Cl₈ TPP)Fe + 1-OH] and that due to excess -OH (irreversible oxidations at 0.00 V and + 0.65 V). Bubbling O₂ (1 atm) through a solution of [(Cl₈ TPP)Fe + 10-OH], followed by deaeration with Ar, gives a product solution that exhibits the cyclic voltammogram shown in Figure 2c. Reversal of a positive scan after the oxidation

TABI	LE I
------	------

Redox reactions and thermodynamics for oxygen species and their adducts with (Cl₈TPP)Fe, (Cl₈TPP)Mn, and (Cl₈TPP)Co in DMF⁴

	<i>E</i> °′, V vs NHE⁵	– ΔG _{BF} , kcal/mol
$\overline{OH} \rightarrow OH + e^{-1}$	+ 0.92	
$2 ^{-}\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{O}^{-+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e}^{-}$	+ 0.89	
$0^{-1} \rightarrow 0^{-1} + e^{-1}$	+ 0.67	
$O_1 + e^- \rightarrow O_2^-$	- 0.64	
O_{7}^{-} + H,O + $e^{-} \rightarrow$ H-OO ⁻ + ⁻ OH	- 1.40	72
PFe + ⁻ OH → PFe-OH + e ⁻	- 0.46	32
$(d^{6}sp); S = 4/2 (d^{6}sp^{2}); S = 5/2$		
$PFe-OH + OH \rightarrow [(HO)PFe-OH]$	-	_
$(d^{2}sp^{2});S = 3/2$		
$PMn + OH \rightarrow PMn + e^{-1}$	- 0.19	26
$(d^{\delta}sp);S = 5/2 (d^{\delta}sp);S = 4/2$		
$PC_0 + -OH \rightarrow PC_0 - OH + e^-$	-0.19	26
$(d^{\prime}sp):S = 1/2 (d^{\prime}sp):S = 0$		
$PFe-OH + OH \rightarrow PFe=O + H_0 + e^-$	+ 0.24	-
$(a^{6}sp);S = 2/2$		
$PMn-OH + OH \rightarrow PMn = O + H_0 + e^{-1}$	< -0.19	
$(a^{5}sp):S = 3/2$		
$PMn = O + 4 - OH \rightarrow$		
$PMn = O + O_1 + 2 H_1O + 4 e^{-1}$	+ 0.33	_
$PCo-OH + OH \rightarrow PCo = O + H_0 + e^{-1}$	+ 0.67	-
$(d^{\prime}sp):S = 1/2$		
$PFe-OO^- \rightarrow PFe + O_1 + e^-$	-0.12	12
$(d^{5}sp^{2});S = 5/2$		
OH		
$PFe \rightarrow PFe-OH + O_{1} + e^{-T}$	-0.09	13
		15
-00		
$PFe OH OO^- \rightarrow PFe-OH + O_2 + e^-$	- 0.09	13
$(d^6 sp); S = 2/2$		
$PMn-OO^- \rightarrow PMn + O_2 + e^-$	+0.11	17
$(a^{\delta}sp); S = 4/2$		
$PCo-OO^- \rightarrow PCo + O_2 + e^-$	- 0.31	8
$(d^{\prime}sp);S = 0$		
$PFe(O_2) + e^- \rightarrow PFe-OO^-$	- 0.33	-
$(a^{\phi}sp); S = 0$		

*Proposed valence-electron hybridizations for the metal centers in parenthesis.

 ${}^{\mathsf{b}}E_{\mathsf{NHE}} = E_{\mathsf{SCE}} + 0.24\,\mathsf{V}.$

 $c - \Delta G_{B,F.} = [E^{o'}_{X'/X-} - E^{o'}_{M-X/M,X}]$ 23.1 kcal. The H-OO⁻ bond energy (ΔH_{DBE}) has a value of 80.1 kcal on the basis of electrochemical data (H₂O, pH 14); O₂⁻ + H₂O + e⁻ \rightarrow H-OO⁻ + ⁻OH (E^{o} , +0.20 V vs NHE), HOH + e⁻ \rightarrow H · + ⁻OH (E^{o} , -2.93 V vs NHE), and $\Delta H_{DBE} = \Delta G_{BF} + T\Delta S_{DBE} = \Delta E^{o}$ (23.1 kcal/eV) + 7.8 kcal = 80.1 kcal.

RIGHTSLINK()

peak at -0.31 V yields a new reduction peak at -0.88 V that is not observed for an initial negative scan. When one-to-three equivalents of -OH are combined with (Cl₈ TPP)Fe the addition of O₂ results in the formation of a product that has indentical electrochemistry to that for the 1:1 combination of (Cl₈ TPP)Fe(ClO₄) and OH.

Figure 2d illustrates the cyclic voltammogram for the solution that results from the combination of (Cl₈ TPP)Fe, one equivalent of \neg OH, O₂ (1 atm) and one equivalent of electrons (controlled—potential coulometry at -0.96 V), and subsequent deaeration with Ar. Reversal of a positive scan after the -0.36-V oxidation peak results in a reduction at -0.90 V that is not observed for an initial negative scan. A product solution with identical electrochemical properties is obtained when two equivalents of tetramethylammonium superoxide [(Me₄N)O₂] are combined [either with or without \neg OH present because dissolution of (Me₄N)O₂ yields O₂⁻ and \neg OH]⁹ with (Cl₈TPP)Fe(ClO₄) in DMF or MeCN. The results for similar experiments with (Cl₈TPP)Mn and (Cl₈TPP)Co are summarized in Table I.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of the electrochemical, spectroscopic, and maganetic susceptibility results,¹⁵ a self-consistent set of oxidation-reduction reactions for various oxygen species and their adducts with (Cl₈TPP)Zn, (Cl₈TPP)Fe, (Cl₈TPP)Mn, and (Cl₈TPP)Co are proposed and the redox thermodynamics for the proposed metalloporphyrin-oxygen products are summarized in Table I. The dominant reaction of O_2^{-1} in nonacidic matrices is water-induced disproportionation (2 $O_2^{-1} + H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + HOO^{-1} + HO^{-1}$). Thus, any solvent system to which O_2^{-1} is added will produce substantial amounts of HO⁻. Analysis of electrochemical results must include consideration of its redox chemistry first.

-OH Adducts

The oxidation of \neg OH in the presence of (Cl₈TPP)Zn occurs at a slightly more positive potenital (+ 0.66 V vs SCE) than that for free \neg OH (+ 0.65 V vs SCE). This is consistent with the metal center delocalizing the electron density for \neg OH to make removal of an electron more difficult. When \neg OH adducts of metalloporphyrins that contain partially filled d subshells are oxidized the potentials are less positive than that for free \neg OH oxidation. This facilitated oxidation of \neg OH is due to the stabilization of the oxidized product (\cdot OH) via formation of a d-p covalent bond between the unpaired p electron of \cdot OH and an unpaired d electron of the metal center. This interpretation is consistent with previous reports that have demonstrated d-p covalent bond formation in the oxidation of \neg OH adducts of transition-metals¹⁶ and metal-dithiolate complexes.¹⁷

Further support for this conclusion is provided by the magnetic data for the combination of (Cl₈ TPP)Fe(ClO₄) and ^{-}OH .¹⁵ With the addition of one equivalent of ^{-}OH the (Cl₈ TPP)Fe(ClO₄) complex is reduced to (Cl₈ TPP)Fe, which couples with the resulting $\cdot OH$ to form (Cl₈ TPP)Fe-OH. Reduction of PFe⁺ by ^{-}OH has been demonstrated previously,^{18,19} but the formation of a PFe-OH covalent bond and its electronic character have only recently been discussed.¹⁵

Recent theoretical²⁰⁻²⁴ and experimental^{16,17,24,25} reports have provided compelling arguments in support of covalent metal-ligand bonds in transistion-metal complexes.

RIGHTSLINKA

Thus, metalloporphyrins (PZn, PMn, PFe, and PCo) are more reasonably formulated with uncharged metal-centers [Zn($d^{10}sp$), Mn(d^5sp), Fe(d^6sp), and Co(d^7sp)] bonded via two metal-nitrogen covalent bonds with uncharged porphyrin [analogous to porphine (PH₂)].^{26,27} There is general acceptance that porphine has two hydrogen atoms bound via covalent bonds to two pyrrole nitrogens. The magnetic moment for (Cl₈TPP)Fe(OH) (5.15 B.M.) as well as other spectroscopic evidence¹⁸ are consistent with d^5sp^2 hybridizaiton for the iron center of (Cl₈TPP)Fe–OH. As such two of the sp^2 electrons form two metal-pyrrole nitrogen covalent bonds and the remaining electron forms a metal-hydroxyl covalent bond (PFe-OH, bonding that is similar to that for H–OH and R–OH).

In the presence of excess ^{-}OH an adduct is formed, $[(HO^{-})(Cl_8TPP)Fe-OH]^{-}$, with the ligand field of ^{-}OH inducing an in-plane octahedral geometry and an intermediate spin state (S = 3/2) (Table I). The electrochemical data (Figures I and 2 and Table I) and the magnetic data are consistent with the conclusion that oxidation of ^{-}OH in the presence of (Cl_8TPP)Fe, (Cl_8TPP)Mn, and (Cl_8TPP)Co yields ^{-}OH , which couples with an unpaired d electron and thereby reduces the magnetic moment of the metalloporphyrin by about one B.M.¹⁵ (and the spin state by 1/2; see Table I). Thus, the redox and magnetic data support the conclusion that electron-transfer oxidation of the ^{-}OH adducts for these metalloporphyrins is ligand-centered and facilitated by d-p covalent bond formation between the metal center and ^{-}OH .

O_2^- Adducts; PZn

The oxidation of O_2^{--} in the presence of (Cl₈TPP)Zn occurs at a slightly more positive potential than that for free O_2^{--} . Because (Cl₈TPP)Zn (with $d^{10}sp$ valence-electron hybridization) does not offer any means to stabilize an O_2^{--} -adduct, -OH is favored because of its greater basicity (larger change density on oxygen; the negative charge of O_2^{--} is delocalized over both oxygens). Hence, there is no change in the UV-visible spectrum of (Cl₈TPP)Zn(OH) when O_2^{--} is introduced.

PFe

The sensitivity of $PFe(O_2)^-$ to degradation by trace levels of water $(2 O_2^{-+} + HOH \rightarrow HOO^- + O_2 + -OH)$ has been demonstrated.²⁸⁻³⁰ In the present study the acidity of the medium^{8,9} has been attenuated by the presence of excess -OH, which enhances the stability of $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe(O_2)^-$. The absence of reactions by this O_2^{-+} adduct with the solvent medium is confirmed by the essentially identical electrochemical and spectroscopic results obtained in DMF and MeCN; the latter has been successfully employed in the magnetic and spectroscopic characterization of $(OEP)Fe(O_2)^{-.11}$ The UV-visble spectrum¹⁵ of $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe(O_2)^{--}$ is essentially the same as that for $(TPP)Fe(O_2)^{--}$ (TPP = tetraphenylporphyrin),^{29,31} and its magnetic moment is similar to that for other $PFe(O_2)^{--}$ adducts.^{28,29}

Oxidation of $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe(O_2^{--})^- (E^{\circ}, -0.36 V)$ occurs at a more positive potential than that for free $O_2^{--}(E^{\circ'}, -0.88 V)$ [or for O_2^{--} in the presence of $(Cl_8 TPP)Zn$]]. This stabilization to electron removal is the result of covalent bond formation between an unpaired p electron of O_2^{--} and an unpaired d electron of $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe$ to give $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe$ —OO⁻⁻, which is analogous to the stabilization of \cdot OH via coupling with $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe$ to give $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe$ -OH (both have iron centers with d^5sp^2 valence-electron hybridization and S = 5/2 spin states). The extent of stabilization, estimated by



the shift in the O_2^{-} oxidation potential (see Table I), is 12 kcal ($-\Delta G_{BF}$). The generation of O_2 upon oxidation of (Cl₈TPP)Fe-OO⁻ is supported by its characteristic reduction at -0.88 V (Figure 2d). The irreversible reduction at -1.21 V is consistent with the dissociation of the oxygen species from (Cl_sTTP)Fe to give OOH, which reacts with Me₂SO to give Me₂SO₂ and ⁻OH,³² and with (CH₃)CN to give $CH_1C(OH)(O^-)NH_2$.^{9,33,34} Because (a) -OH is more difficult to oxidize than O_2^{-1} (Table I) and (b) oxidation of the "OH adducts of metalloporphyrins is ligandcentered, the oxidation of (Cl_8 TPP)Fe-OO⁻ must be ligand-centered (the liberation of O₂ upon a one-electron oxidation is consistent with this conclusion). The electron affinities for O_2^{-1} and (Cl₈TPP)Fe⁺, which are directly proportional to their one-elec-[(Cl_sTPP)Fe⁺ reduction tron electron-transfer potentials $(E^*_{\text{DMF}}, + 0.18 \text{ V vs NHE}) > O_2 (-0.64 \text{ V}) >$ $(Cl_{s}TPP)Fe (-0.74V) > O_{2}^{-1}$ (< -1.40 V), preclude the formation of $[(Cl_8 \text{TPP})\text{Fe}^+(O_2^{2^-})]^-$. Hence, there is no significant electron-transfer between O_2^{-} and (Cl₈TPP)Fe when they are combined.

The magnetic moment for $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe(O_2)^-$ (5.57 B.M.)¹⁵ and the absence of any propensity for outer-sphere electron-transfer between $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe$ and O_2^- (Table I), as well as other magnetic and spectroscopic evidence,^{28,29} are consistent with d^5sp^2 hybridization for the iron center of $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe(O_2)^-$. As such, the bonding is analogous to that for $(Cl_8 TPP)Fe-OH$ with a metal-superoxide covalent bond (PFe-OO⁻, bonding that is similar to that for H-OO⁻ and Bu-OO⁻).

The -0.18-V difference in the formal reduction potentials for the (Cl₈ TPP)Fe-OH/ (Cl₈ TPP)Fe(^{-}OH)⁻ couple (E^{*} , -0.70 V) and O_2/O_2^{-} couple (E^{*} , -0.88 V), indicates that stabilization of O_2^{-} via covalent-bond formation with (Cl₈ TPP)Fe-OH (32 kcal or more) will favor reduction of O_2 by (Cl₈ TPP)Fe(^{-}OH)⁻. Because the apparent (Cl₈ TPP)Fe-OO⁻ bond energy is 12 kcal ($-\Delta G_{BF}$, Table I), the combination of (Cl₈ TPP)Fe (d^6sp , S = 4/2), O_2 , and ^{-}OH should result in the exothermic formation of (Cl₈ TPP)FeOHOO⁻ with Fe-OH and Fe-OO⁻ covalent bonds. The observed magnetic moment (2.91 B.M., S = 2/2)¹⁵ for the product solution from this combination, as well as the electrochemistry and UV-visible spectroscopy, are consistent with this formulation. Electrochemical oxidation at 0.0 V of the product solution yields O_2 and (Cl₈ TPP)Fe-OH, which provides further support for the spontaneous formation of this species.

$$(Cl_{8}TPP)Fe + O_{2} + ^{-}OH \rightarrow (Cl_{8}TPP)FeOHOO^{-}$$
(7)

This reaction represents a unique and perhaps the first example of actual electron transfer between an iron porphyrin and dioxygen, and offers a means for oxygen transport and storage.

Because (Cl₈TPP)Fe-OO⁻ and (Cl₈TPP)FeOHOO⁻ are strong nucleophilic bases,

excess \neg OH is required to stabilize them from reaction with trace levels of water in the solvent. In the absence of \neg OH reductive electrolysis of $[(Cl_s TPP)Fe + O_2]$ yields $(Cl_s TPP)Fe$ —OH as the major product, which results from a water-induced decomposition pathway (analogous to that for O_2^{-1} , eq.(2))

$$(Cl_{g}TPP)Fe + O_{2} + e^{-} \longrightarrow (Cl_{g}TPP)Fe - OO^{-} \xrightarrow{H_{2}O} (Cl_{g}TPP)Fe - OOH + ^{-}OH (8)$$

$$\downarrow H_{2}O$$

$$(Cl_{g}TPP)Fe - OH + HOOH$$

RIGHTSLINKA)

PMn and PCo

Electrochemical oxidation of $(Cl_8 TPP)Mn(O_2)^-$ (E^* , -0.13 V) liberates O_2 , and the shift to a more positive potential relative to that for free O_2^- is analogous to the behavior of $(Cl_8 TPP)FeOO^-$. Thus, covalent bond formation between an unpaired d electron of PMn (d^5sp) provides stabilization of about 17 kcal (Table I).

The observed magnetic moment for $(Cl_8 TPP)MnOO^-(4.98 B.M.)^{15}$ is the same as that for $(TPP)Mn(O_2)$, ⁻²⁸ which has been formulated as $(TPP)Mn(O_2^-)^{-.28.35}$ A recent X-ray crystallographic structure determination³⁰ for $(TTP)Mn(O_2)^-$ has an O-O bond distance that is interpreted to be indicative of a O_2^{2-} dianion. However, earlier arguments for a reinterpretation of the vibrational data for PFe(O_2)⁻ also apply to O-O bond distances.¹⁵ Thus, the O-O bond distances should be similar for H-OO-H, (Na ⁺⁻ OO ⁻⁺ Na), H-OO⁻, and (TPP)Mn-OO⁻ (all with a bond-order of 1).

The generation of stable solutions of $(Cl_8 TPP)MnOO^-$ by reduction of $[(Cl_8 TPP)Mn + O_2]$ does not require the presence of -OH during the electrolysis, which is consistent with the greater stability of $PMn(O_2)^-$ to moisture relative to $PFe(O_2)^{-,28,29,30}$ and its larger $(Cl_8 TPP)Mn-OO^-$ bond energy. A species analogous to

(Cl₈TPP)Fe $\begin{array}{c} OH \\ OO^{-} \end{array}$ is not generated when (Cl₈TPP)Mn, ^{-}OH , and O₂ are combined

despite the favorable stabilization (17 kcal) that results from (Cl₈ TPP)Mn-OO⁻ formation. This probably is due to the less negative reduction potential for the (Cl₈ TPP)Mn⁻OH/(Cl₈ TPP)Mn(⁻OH)⁻ couple [-0.43 V versus -0.70 V for (Cl₈ TPP)Fe-OH].

Because the formal reduction potential for the $(Cl_8 TPP)Co/(Cl_8 TPP)Co^-$ couple, is more positive than that for the O_2/O_2^- couple, direct electrolytic reduction of $[PCo + O_2]$ cannot be applied. However, the $(Cl_8 TPP)Co(O_2)^-$ species is generated at the electrode surface when a negative voltage scan is applied to a solution that contains $(Cl_8 TPP)Co, -OH$, and O_2 . The reduction products, O_2^- and $(Cl_8 TPP)Co^ (E_{red} < -1.4 V)$, yield $(Cl_8 TPP)Co(O_2)^-$ upon reoxidation. The weak $(Cl_8 TPP)Co OO^-$ bond (8 kcal) necessitates the presence of -OH to preclude decomposition via hydrolysis (Eq. (8)). The formal reduction potential for the $(Cl_8 TPP)Co-OH/$ $(Cl_8 TPP)Co(-OH)^-$ couple and the small PCo-OO^- bond energy preclude

 $(Cl_{8}TPP)Co < OH OO^{-}$ formation.

O_2 Adduct

The electrochemistry (Figure 1) and spectroscopy for the combination of O₂ and metalloporphyrins indicate the absence of interaction between O₂ and (Cl₈TPP)Zn, (Cl₈TPP)Mn, and (Cl₈TPP)Co. However, binding of O₂ to (Cl₈TPP)Fe is indicated by the positive shift of the potential for O₂ reduction (Figure 1c) and the small positive shift for (Cl₈TPP)Fe oxidation in the presence of O₂. These results confirm that formation of (Cl₈TPP)Fe(O₂) is not accompanied by electron transfer from (Cl₈TPP)Fe to O₂. Furthermore, the reduction potential for the O₂/O₂⁻⁻ couple is more negative than that for the (Cl₈TPP)Fe⁺/(Cl₈TPP)Fe couple (O₂⁻⁻ is used to reduce PFe⁺ to PFe). The O-O bond lengths and O-O vibrational frequencies for PFe(O₂) and oxyhemoglobin can be rationalized by arguments that are similar to those presented for the bonding of (Cl₈TPP)FeOO⁻⁻. Thus, the two unpaired electrons of ³O₂ couple with two of the four unpaired *d* electrons of (Cl₈TPP)Fe (*d*⁶sp, S = 4/2) to form two weak covalent bonds and give (L)(Cl_gTPP)Fe—O (d^6 sp, S = 0; L = DMF).

The irreversible reduction of O_2 in the presence of $(Cl_8 TPP)Mn$ and the cementenhancement for the oxidation of $(Cl_8 TPP)Mn$ on the reverse scan are consistent with $(Cl_8 TPP)MnOO^-$ formation from $(PMn + O_2^{-+})$. The small current increase for the $(Cl_8 TPP)Co/(Cl_8 TPP)Co^-$ couple in the presence of O_2 appears to be due to the reoxidation of $(Cl_8 TPP)Co^-$ by O_2 .

The electrochemical, spectroscopic, and magnetic results for the $\cdot OH$, O_2^{--} , and O_2 adducts of (Cl₈TPP)M (M = Fe, Mn, Co) are consistent with the conclusions that the site of electron-transfer is oxygen-centered, and that the oxygen species are stabilized by covalent-bond formation between an unpaired d electron of the transition-metal and an upaired p electron of oxygen. The electrochemistry and magnetic moment for (Cl₈TPP)FeOO⁻ indicate that the valence electrons of the iron-center are hydridized to d^3sp^2 . The formation of a covalent bond between an iron atom and an oxygen species (O_2^{--}) is analogous to such bond formation between a hydrogen atom and an oxygen species to give H-OO⁻. The concept of valence-electron hybridization to achieve more effective bonding with iron is equivalent to that for the carbon atom ($s^2p^2 \rightarrow sp^3$).

The present study provides insight to the mechanisms for superoxide-ion disprotionation that are catalyzed by the iron and manganese superoxide-dismutase proteins. The combination of PFe of PMn with O_2^- does not result in electron transfer from the metal; instead they couple to form PFeOO⁻ and PMnOO⁻. The latter abstract protons from the medium, and the PFeOOH and PMnOOH products react with a second O_2^- and a proton to give HOOH and O_2 (eq. (9)).

$$PFe + O_2^{--} + HA \longrightarrow PFe \longrightarrow OOH + A^{-}$$

$$\downarrow O_2^{--}, HA$$

$$PFe + O_1 + HOOH + A^{-}$$
(9)

Thus, PFe and PMn facilitate the disproportionation of O_2^{-} , which is equivalent to the function of the iron and manganese superoxide-dismutase proteins. Whether the mechanism suggested by Eq. (9) is relevant to those for the proteins is unkown, but the absence of electron transfer from their metal centers to O_2^{-} is a reasonable expectation.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CHE-8516247. We are grateful to the Robert A. Welch Foundation for the award of a Predoctoral Fellowship to P.K.S.T.

References

- 1. D.T. Sawyer and J.S. Valentine (1981) How super is superoxide? Accounts of Chemical Research, 14, 393-400.
- M.E. McAdam, R.A. Fox, F. Lavelle and E.M. Fielden (1977) A pulse-radiolysis study of the manganese-containing superoxide dismutase from Bacillus Stearothermophilus. *Biochemical Journal*, 165, 71-74.



- C. Bull and J.A. Fee (1985) Steady-state kinetic studies of superoxide dismutases: Properties of the iron containing protein Escherichia coli. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 107, 3295-3304.
- J.K. Howie and D.T. Sawyer (1976) Manganese(II) and manganese(III) 8-quinolinol complexes. Redox model for mitochondrial superoxide dismutase. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 98, 6698-6700.
- B.H.J. Bielski and G.G. Shiue (1979) Oxygen free radicals and tissue damage. In Ciba Foundation Symposium 65, Excerpta Medica, Amsterdam, pp. 43-56.
- D.T. Sawyer, L. Spencer, K. Yamaguchi and M.S. McDowell (1986) Tris(picolinato)manganese(II): A chemical model for the mechanism and function of mitochondrial superoxide dismutase. In Superoxide and Superoxide Dismutase in Chemistry, Biology and Medicine (ed. G. Rotilio), Elsevier, New York, pp. 219-224.
- D.T. Sawyer and J.L. Roberts, Jr. (1974) Experimental Electrochemistry for Chemists; Wiley, New York, pp. 44-46, 144-145, 336-339.
- D.T. Sawyer, T.S. Calderwood, K. Yamaguchi and C.T. Angelis (1983) Synthesis and characterization of tetramethylammonium superoxide. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 22, 2577-2583.
- K. Yamaguchi, T.S. Calderwood and D.T. Sawyer (1986) Corrections and additional insights to the synthesis and characterization of tetramethylammonium superoxide [(Me₄N)O₂]. Inorganic Chemistry, 25, 1289-1290.
- G.M. Badger, R.A. Jones and R.L. Laslett (1964) Porphyrins: The synthesis of porphyrins by the Rothemund reaction. Australian Journal of Chemistry, 17, 1028-1035.
- 11. H. Kobayashi, T. Higuchi, Y. Kaizu, H. Osada and M. Aoki (1975) Electronic spectra of tetraphenylporphinatoiron(III) methoxide. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan, 48, 3137-3141.
- 12. A.D. Alder, F.R. Longo and V. Varadi (1976) Compounds of biological interest (57. Metalloporphrins). *Inorganic Synthesis*, 16, 213-220.
- 13. P.S. Traylor, D. Dolphin and T.G. Traylor (1984) Sterically protected hemins with electronegative substituents: Efficient catalysts for hydroxylation and epoxidation. *Journal of Chemical Society, Chemical Communication*, 279–280.
- C.L. Hill and M.M. Williamson (1985) Structural and electronic properties of six-coordinate Manganese(III) porphyrin cations. Crystal and molecular structure of bis(N,N-dimethylformamide)-(tetraphenylporphinato)-manganese(III) perchlorate, [Mn^{III}TPP(DMF)₂]⁺ClO₄⁻ Inorganic Chemistry, 24, 2836-2841.
- 15. P.K.S. Tsang and D.T. Sawyer (1990) Electron-transfer thermodynamics and bonding for the superoxide (O_2^{-1}) , dioxygen $(\cdot O_2 \cdot)$, and $(\cdot OH)$ adducts of tetrakis(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-porphinatoiron, -manganese, and -cobalt in dimethylformamide. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 29, in press.
- P.K.S. Tsang, P. Cofré and D.T. Sawyer (1987) Electrochemical oxidation of hydroxide ion in acetonitrile and its facilitation by transition-metal complexes. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 26, 3604–3609.
- D.T. Sawyer, G.S. Srivatsa, M.E. Bodini, W.P. Schaefer and R.M. Wing (1986) Redox chemistry and spectroscopy of toluene-3,4-dithiol (TDTH₂) and of its M(TDT)₂^{2-/-} complexes with zinc(II), copper(II), nickel(II), cobalt(II), iron(II), and manganese(II); formation of a stable dⁿ-(·SR) bond upon oxidation by one electron. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 108, 936-942.
- K. Shin, S.K. Kramer and H.M. Goff (1987) Base-promoted authoreduction of iron(III) porphyrins in dimethyl sulfoxide solution: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy of hydroxoiron(II) porphyrin complexes. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 26, 4103–4106.
- 19. G.S. Srivatsa and D.T. Sawyer (1985) Hydroxide-induced reduction of (tetraphenylporphinato) iron(111) in pyridine. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 24, 1732-1734.
- J.F. Harrison (1986) The electronic and geometric structures of the chromium cations CrF⁺, CrO⁺, CrN⁺, and CrC⁺. Journal of Physical Chemistry, 90, 3313-3319.
- R.T. Sanderson (1986) Electronegativity and bonding of transitional elements. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 25, 3518-3522.
- S. Di Bella, I. Fragala and G. Granozzi (1986) Electronic structure of transition-metal tetracoordinated complexes. 1. Theoretical ab initio and uv-photoelectron spectroscopy study of palladium(II) and platinum(II) square-planar acetylacetonate complexes. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 25, 3997-4003.
- J.J. Low and W.A. Goddard, III (1986) theoretical studies of oxidative addition and reductive elimination. 3. C-H and C-C reductive coupling from palladium and platinum bis(phosphine) complexes. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 108, 6115-6128.
- 24. D.T. Sawyer (1987) The nature of the bonding and valency for oxygen in its metal compounds. Comments on Inorganic Chemistry, 6, 103-121.
- 25. S.A. Richert, P.K.S. Tsang and D.T. Sawyer (1988) Ligand-centered oxidation of manganese(11) complexes. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 27, 1814–1818.

RIGHTSLINKA)

D.T. SAWYER AND P.K.S. TSANG

- 26. D.T. Sawyer (1990) Electron-transfer thermodynamics, valence-electron hybridization and bonding for iron compounds. Comments on Inorganic Chemistry, 10, 129-159.
- S.A. Richert, P.K.S. Tsang and D.T. Sawyer (1989) Ligand-centered redox processes for MnL₃, FeL₃ and CoL₃ complexes (L = acetylacetonate, 8-quinolinate, picolinate, 2,2'-bipyridyl, 1,10-phenanthroline) and for their tetrakis (2,6-dichlorophenyl)porphinato complexes [M(por)]. Inorganic Chemistry, 28, 2471-2475.
- A. Shirazi and H.M. Goff (1982) Characterization of superoxide-metalloporphyrin reaction products: Effective use of deuterium nmr spectroscopy. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 104, 6318-6322.
- J.N. Burstyn, J.A. Roe, A.R. Miksztal, B.A. Shaevity, G. Lang and J.S. Valentine (1988) Magnetic and spectroscopic characterization of an iron porphyrin peroxide complex. Peroxoferrioctaethylporphyrin(1-). Journal of the American Chemical Society, 110, 1382-1388.
- R.B. VanAtta, C.E. Strouse, L.K. Hanson and J.S. Valentine (1987) [Peroxotetraphenylporphinato]manganese(III) and [chlorotetraphenylporphinato]manganese(II) anions. Syntheses, crystal structures, and electronic structures. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 109, 1425-1434.
- E. McCandlish, A.R. Miksztal, M.Nappa, A.Q. Sprenger, J.S. Valentine, J.D. Strong and T.G. Spiro (1980) Reactions of superoxide with iron porphyrins in aprotic solvents. A high spin ferric porphyrin peroxo complex. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 102, 4268-4270.
- 32. A.D. Goolsby and D.T. Sawyer (1968) The electrochemical reduction of superoxide ion and oxidation of hydroxide ion in dimethyl sulfoxide. *Analytical Chemistry*, **40**, 83-86.
- J.L. Roberts, Jr., M.M. Morrison and D.T. Sawyer (1978) Base-induced generation of superoxide ion and hydroxyl radical from hydrogen peroxide. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 100, 329-330.
- Y. Sawaki and Y. Ogata (1981) Mechanism of the reaction of nitriles with alkaline hydrogen peroxide. Reactivity of peroxycarboximidic acid and application to superoxide ion reaction. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan, 54, 793-799.
- J.S. Valentine and A.E. Quinn (1976) Reaction of superoxide with the manganese(III) tetraphenylporphine cation. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 15, 1977-1999.

Accepted by Prof. G. Czapski

